IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1248 OF 2007

1.Mahadeo Vishnu Harale,
2.Vishnu Bapu Harale, &
3.Smt.Kisabai Vishnu Harale. ...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

....

Mr.Rahul S. Kate, Adv. for the Applicants.
Mr.K.V.Saste, APP, for the State.

....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATED : 21st April, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicants and the learned APP for the State.

2. The applicants are seeking bail in C.R.No.33 of 2007 of Pandharpur Taluka Police Station. The said case is under Sections 498-A, 302 r/w. 34 of IPC.

3. Applicant No.1 was the husband of deceased Sujata alias Sunita. Applicant Nos.2 & 3 are father and mother of applicant No.1. The complainant has stated about demand of settlement

of dowry of Rs.50,000/- in the marriage. The complainant has stated about the cruelty to the deceased as the demand was not met. The complainant was informed that the deceased died on account of snake bite. When the complainant reached the village of the applicants, he found burn injuries on the person of his daughter. Hence he suspected that there was assault on the deceased and hence complaint came to be lodged.

4. The post-mortem notes show that the deceased had 13 injuries on her person; out of the said injuries 11 injuries are burn injuries spread all over the body. Moreover, the investigation in the present case is going on.

5. Looking to the material on record, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicants, at this stage. Application is rejected.

. Liberty is granted to the applicants to prefer a fresh application for bail after the charge-sheet is filed.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)